

Chanhasen Advisory Committee Meeting #4

Recreation Center

March 9, 2017

7 – 9pm

Attendees: Courtney Miller Bellairs, Cheryl Ayotte, Kim McCreavy, Nick McCreavy, Karen Doster, Steve Scharfenberg, Jeff Filipek, Jim Boettcher, John Tietz, Todd Hoffman, Rita Trapp (HKGi), Jess Vetrano (HKGi)

1. **January Meeting Notes** – no changes for meeting notes needed

2. **Recap of Guiding Principles**

Revisions to the guiding principles, including the replacement of icons with photos from around Chanhasen, were found to be acceptable by the Advisory Committee.

3. **System Plan Recommendations: Goals, Policies, & Initiatives**

Some general comments regarding the System Plan Recommendations regarded the lack of measurability of Goals and Policies compared with the Initiatives and the desire for a graphic representation of how the categories work together. It was suggested that further discussion occur on this topic at the end of the meeting and with the Park and Recreation Commission.

- *Goals*

- To highlight the availability of indoor recreation opportunities, add “indoor and outdoor” to the first goal

- *Policies*

- Define the difference between active and passive recreation in a sidebar in the document
- **1.4.2** – “wifi” is a very specific term and the scope of implementation is not defined. Suggested to change term to something more general and flexible to changing trends.
- **1.7** – Change the word “Maintain” to something more actionable. Ensure that this policy encompasses the desire to create more preserves rather than just maintain existing, including potentially highlighting Bluff Creek?
- **2.4** – Included clarification of City Standards regarding trail construction within new development. Review if this should be a Policy or Initiative.
- **3.5** – “Expand” rather than just “maintain”. Generalize the goal to include more facilities than just gyms (Circle back to this post-community engagement)
- **4.1** – Take out explore and change to just “Preserve”. Change “remnant” to “remaining.”
- **4.3** – “Manage” may be too ambiguous?
- **4.7** – Add “facilities” or “buildings” to end.
- **5.3** – End the policy after the word “pieces” to avoid limiting options. Include “on various scales.” Could include a destination art installation.
- **6.2** – Alter language to include existing as well as new facilities (i.e. “utilize our facilities to capitalize on opportunities to generate revenue”)

- **6.5** – “Encourage” rather than “support”
- Include a policy or initiative regarding the establishment of a Chanhassen Parks Foundation
- *Initiatives*
 - General
 - Try to incorporate Arts as an initiative
 - Parks
 - **1.1** – “Lake Ann Park” rather than just “Lake Ann”
 - **1.1.1** – “Modern” rather than “electronic” for scoreboards?
 - **1.3** – Add something about renovation of the existing shelter at Lake Susan Park
 - **1.7** – Combine with 1.8 and generalize
 - Facilities
 - **2.1- 2.4** – Move 2.4 to 2.1 and then include the existing 2.1- 2.3 as sub-bullets
 - Recreation Center/Senior Center call out is a good idea
 - Should include passive spaces in the list of desired amenities
 - Change the title to “signature recreation facility” to avoid distinguishing the rec and senior center as separate things
 - **2.9** – Add “within community parks”
 - **2.10** – Make sure to highlight throughout community engagement to understand importance to residents and adjust wording as necessary
 - **2.11** – Dual purpose facility? Include more examples? Facility that compliments nature rather than standalone destination.
 - Trails
 - Better definition of a trail head?
 - Preserves
 - **4.6** – Include Minnesota River Valley
 - Operations
 - **6.1** – Ensure the System Plan is used as the basis for annual budgeting and....
 - **6.5** – Define or generalize “vegetative.” Include “preserve” as well as “establish.” Include more precedent imagery.
 - **6.9** – Change “smoking” to “tobacco use”
 - Include becoming a model for sustainable BMPs for residents and surrounding communities as a policy or initiative.